

Applicant's or Agent's File Reference

TRANSLATION

12

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

DENTIFICATION OF THE	E INTERNATIONAL APPLIC	ATION	31 402		
nternational Application No.		International Fil	International Filing Date		
PCT/EP9	1/02057		30.10.91		
eceiving Office		Priority Date C	aimed		
RO/EP			05.11.90		
pplicant (Name)					
N	UKEM GMBH, et a	1.			
	BAS	IS OF REPORT			
. AMENDMENTS AND/OR RE Authority in respect of the cir	CTIFICATIONS1" — The emendmeims, the description, end/or drewing	nents end/or rectificetions ngs in the ebove-identified	mede before this Internetional Preliminary Examining Internetional application are ennexed to this report.		
X This report has been es	stablished on the basis of the foll	lowing application docu	ments:		
the application doc	uments as filed				
x description, pages	2,3,4,7-14	as originally fil			
description, pages	1,5,5a,6		letter of 21.10.92		
description, pages	a ser remains a me over	•	letter of		
description, pages	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		letter of		
claim(s)	1 = 7 = 0 = 0 = 0 =	as originally fil	ed letter of 21.10.92		
claim(s) claim(s)	Late Lacut of Content of	•	letter of		
claim(s)	The Continuous and the	-	letter of		
drawings, sheet/fig.		as originally fil			
drawings, sheet/fig.		filed with your			
	d in the cencellation of the following	•			
The amendments resulted	o in the cencellation of the following	g sneets:			
This report has been este have been considered to	blished es if the emendments indice go beyond the disclosure es filed.	eted on the extre sheet her	re not been mede, since, for the reesons indicated, they		
	•				
. PRIORITY 2					
 This report has been e requested; 	steblished as if no priority has be	een claimed due to the f	ailure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the		
copy of the earlier a	pplication whose priority has be-	en claimed.			
translation of the ear	rlier application whose priority h	es been claimed.			
o. This report has been e	established as if no priority has I	been claimed due to the	fact that the priority claim has been found invelle		
Thus, for the purposes of the	nis report, the international filing de	nte indiceted ebove le cons	sidered to be the relevent date.		
* Where replacement sheets en limit applicable under PCT A	e ennexed to this report, a translatio	n of these replacement she	ets must be furnished to the elected Offices within the tin		

	BASIS OF REPORT (Continued)							
з.	UNITY OF INVENTION 3 — The international application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention.							
	a. In response to an invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:							
	restricted the claims.							
	pald additional fees.							
	paid additional fees under protest. Where requested by the applicant, the text of the protest together with the decision taken thereon are annexed to this report.							
	neither restricted nor paid additional fees.							
	b. No invitation has been issued. The opinion of this International Preliminary Examining Authority is that the international application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention for the following reasons. (specify)							
	 Consequently, the following parts of the International application were the subject of International preliminary examination in establishing this report: 							
	_							
	all parts. the parts relating to the restricted claims, that is claims Nos.							
	the parts relating to the main invention, that is claims Nos.							
4.	4. NON-ESTABLISHMENT OF REPORT ON QUESTIONS OF NOVELTY, INVENTIVE STEP OR INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY 4							
The questions of whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step or to be industrially applicable have not for the reasons indicated been gone into in respect of:								
	a the entire international application							
	b claims Nos							
	for the following reasons:							
	Said international application, or said claims Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination. (specify)							

	The description, claims, or drawings (indicate particular elements) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
	The claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
	Said claims Nos are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third santances of PCT Rule 6.4(a).							

Page . 3

CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all.) 5

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC

B01J: C01B: C01F

REASONED STATEMENT AS TO CLAIMS MEETING CRITERIA OF NOVELTY (M), INVENTIVE STEP (IS) AND INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY (IA)* AND CITATIONS' AND EXPLANATIONS* SUPPORTING SUCH STATEMENT

CLAIM NUMBER	STATEMENT (CRITERIA)	CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS
1	N: Yes IS: No	
2	N: Yes IS: Yes	
3, 5 7	, N: No	See the Accompanying sheet.
4,6	N: Yes	
1-7	IA: Yes	

	NON-WRIT	TEN DISCLOSURES	
Kind of Non-Written Disclo	sure Date of Writter Non-Written D	n Disclosure referring to the lisclosure	Date of Non-Written Disclosure
		- 1	
	CERTAIN PU	BLISHED DOCUMENTS 10	
Application/Patent	Date of Publication	Filing Oste	Priority Date (Valid Claim)
	1		
c	ERTAIN DEFECTS IN TH	E INTERNATIONAL APPLIC	ATION"
		nal application have been noted.	
CERT	AIN OBSERVATIONS OF	N THE INTERNATIONAL AP	PLICATION 12
The following observations on th	ne clarity of the claims, descrip	ption, and drawings or on the que	stion whether the claims are fully support
by the description have been no	teo.		
	cr	ERTIFICATION	
Date Demand Submitted		Date of Completion of	the International Preliminary Exemination
			.12.92
17.04.92		07	.12.92
17.04.92	ning Authority	Signature of Authorize	

The subject matter of claim 1 is novel, because none of the international search report citations discloses all the features of claim 1.

US-A-4 198 318 (D1) is considered to be the closest state of the art

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from D1 by its characterizing portion. But this characterizing portion does not involve an inventive step. It is known from FR-A-2 387 076 (D2) to manufacture beadlike particles by producing droplets using a vibrating (frequency 600 Hz) plate having several openings or nozzles, pre-solidifying the droplets separately by blowing ammonia gas onto them laterally and collecting the presolidified droplets in an ammonia solution. It was obvious to the person skilled in the art to use the measures known from D2 in D1 to obtain the desired aluminium oxide shaped bodies.

The measures of the dependent claims 3-7 cannot contribute to a patentable independent claim 1, because they are already known, see D2, page 4, line 13 et seq. with the present claim 3, and D1, column 3, lines 26-29 with the present claims 5 and 7, or fall under the routine work of those skilled in the art, see present claims 4 and 6.

The independent claim 2 differs from claim 1 by the use of a nozzle plate comprising several nozzles disposed on a ring. This arrangement makes it possible to pre-solidify the droplets dropped from the nozzle ring from inside the ring and, from the outside, by blowing ammonia gas onto them. The droplets

thereby become sufficiently solid to withstand flattening before entering the ammonia solution and aluminium oxide shaped bodies of optimal sphericity are obtained.

The teaching of claim 2 is neither anticipated by the documents D1 and D2 nor suggested by their combination.

The aluminium oxide beads produced by the process as per the application can be used as adsorbents in chromatography or as catalysts or catalyst supports.